



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,599	12/12/2003	Scott A. Meyer	GUID.142PA	1644
51294 7590 09/18/2009 HOLLINGSWORTH & FUNK 8500 Normandale Lake Blvd SUITE 320 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55437				
EXAMINER				
ALTER, ALYSSA MARGO				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3762				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/18/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/734,599

**Applicant(s)**

MEYER ET AL.

**Examiner**

Alyssa M. Alter

**Art Unit**

3762

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alyssa M. Alter.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Stephen Jensen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 62, 89 and 118.

Identification of prior art discussed: Florio (US Patent Publication 20010049342 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the interview proposed claim amendments were suggested to overcome the 112 rejections. It was agreed that "being different than", providing there was specification support, would overcome the 112 rejection. Additional Attorney Jensen presented reasons why he considered the applied Florio reference deficient. The examiner stated that she would consider the points in a future review the Florio reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/George R Evanisko/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762